

Lecture 17. Closure properties of recursive and r.e. languages

Theorem 1 *The class of recursive languages is closed under*

1. *complementation*
2. *union*
3. *concatenation*
4. *Kleene star (proof similar to (3))*
5. *intersection, (proof similar to (2))*

Note: the set of context-free languages is not closed under complementation, but the set of recursive languages is closed under complementation.

If L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then $L_1 \cup L_2$ is recursive.

Proof:

- Let L_1 and L_2 be two recursive languages.
- Then there exists two Turing machines M_1 and M_2 that *decides* M_1 and M_2 , respectively.
- Construct a new 2-tape Turing machine M that operates as follows:
 1. Copy the input string w from the first tape to the second tape.
 2. Simulate M_1 on the first tape. If M_1 halts at \mathbf{y} , then M halts at \mathbf{y} . If M_1 halts at \mathbf{n} , proceed to step 3.
 3. Simulate M_2 on the second tape. If M_2 halts at \mathbf{y} , then M halts at \mathbf{y} . If M_2 halts at \mathbf{n} , then M also halts at \mathbf{n} .
- Verify that M indeed decides $L_1 \cup L_2$:
 - If $w \in L_1 \cup L_2 \Rightarrow w \in L_1$ or $w \in L_2 \Rightarrow (M_1 \text{ halts at } \mathbf{y})$ or $(M_2 \text{ halts at } \mathbf{y}) \Rightarrow M \text{ halts at } \mathbf{y}$.
 - If $w \notin L_1 \cup L_2 \Rightarrow w \notin L_1$ and $w \notin L_2 \Rightarrow (M_1 \text{ halts at } \mathbf{n})$ and $(M_2 \text{ halts at } \mathbf{n}) \Rightarrow M \text{ halts at } \mathbf{n}$.

If L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then L_1L_2 is recursive.

Proof:

- Let L_1 and L_2 be two recursive languages.
- Then there exists two Turing machines M_1 and M_2 that *decides* M_1 and M_2 , respectively.
- Construct a nondeterministic 2-tape Turing machine which operates as follows:
 1. nondeterministically splits w into two strings x and y such that $w = xy$, then copy y to the second tape (leaving x on the first tape).
 2. Simulate M_1 on the first tape. If M_1 halts at \mathbf{n} , then this computation of M rejects w . If M_1 halts at \mathbf{y} , then M continues to simulate M_2 on the second tape. If M_2 halts at \mathbf{y} , then M accepts w . If M_2 halts at \mathbf{n} , then again this computation rejects w .
- Verify that M indeed decides L_1L_2 :
 - If $w \in L_1L_2 \Rightarrow$ there exists x and y such that $w = xy$ where $x \in L_1$ and $y \in L_2 \Rightarrow M$ can choose to split $w = xy$, which will allow it to lead to M_1 accepts x and M_2 accepts y , thus M accepts w in that computation, thus $w \in L(M)$.
 - If $w \notin L_1L_2 \Rightarrow$ for all x and y such that $w = xy$ either $x \notin L_1$ or $y \notin L_2 \Rightarrow$ for all x and y , either M_1 rejects x or M_2 rejects y . Thus M rejects w , and $w \notin L(M)$.

Closure properties of r.e. languages

Theorem 2 *The class of r.e. languages is closed under*

1. *union,*
2. *concatenation,*
3. *Kleene star,*
4. *intersection.*

Proof: For concatenation and Kleene star, use nondeterminism similarly as in the proof of recursive languages being closed under concatenation.

For union and intersection, see Question Bank.

Note: The class of r.e. languages is not closed under complementation. (example later).